

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING (RCW 34.05.320)

CR-102 (7/22/01)	
Do NOT use for expedited	d
rule making	

1889			rule ma	aking		
Agency: Department of Agriculture			☑ Original Notice			
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>03-15-120;</u> or			Supplemental Notice			
Expedited Rule Making Proposed notice was filed as WSR; or			to WSR			
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).			Continuance of WSR			
(a) Title of rule: (Describe Subject) Chapter 16-403 WAC STANDARDS FOR APPLES MARKETED WITHIN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON. The department is proposing to amend WAC 16-403-280 (Adoption of United States standards as state standards) to adopt the new U.S. standards For Grades of Apples, which were effective on December 19, 2002.						
Purpose: The purpose of the proposed amendment is to adopt the U.S. standards for grades of apples so that apples certified under Washington State standards will meet U.S. standards. This amendment will enable apples marketed in areas that require only U.S. grades to be marketed without being re-certified. This simplified process should make marketing Washington State apples easier and should reduce the industry's marketing costs.  Other identifying information: None						
(b) Statutory authority for adoption: Chapter and Packs, and RCW 34.05 Administration	ades Statute being implemented: RCW 15.17.030					
(c) Summary: By adopting the U.S. standards for grades of apples, apples certified under state standards will meet U.S. standards. This means they can be marketed in areas that require only U.S. grades without having to be re-certified.						
Reasons supporting proposal: See (c) Summary above and section (j) below.						
(d) Name of Agency Personnel Responsible		า		Telephone		
1. Drafting Jim Quigley	Olympia, WA			(360) 902-1833		
2. Implementation Jim Quigley	Olympia, WA			(360) 902-1833		
3. Enforcement Jim Quigley Olympia, WA (360) 902-1833						
(e) Name of proponent (person or organization):       ☐ Private         Department of Agriculture       ☐ Public         ☐ Governmental						
(f) Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement and fiscal matters:  None						
(g) Is rule necessary because of:	N7 16	4.TT4.QU.QQDV.QE.T				
Federal Law? Yes No If yes, ATTACH COPY OF TEXT Federal Court Decision? Yes No Citation:						
State Court Decision? Ye	- □	uon.				
	S 🖂 INO	0	-1-1-			
(h) HEARING LOCATION:		Submit written comments to:				
Yakima Agriculture Center	Jim Quigley, Program Manager WSDA Fruit & Vegetable Inspection Program					
21 North 1 <sup>st</sup> Ave.	P.O. Box 42560					
Yakima, WA		Olympia, WA 98504-2560				
		FAX (360) 902-2085 E	By (date) 5:00 p.m. on N	lovember 10, 2003		
Date: November 10, 2003 Time: 10:00 a.m.		DATE OF INTENDED ADOPTION: November 19, 2003				
Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Laurie Crose by November 3, 2003		CODE REVISER USE ONLY				
TDD (360) 902-1976	CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON					
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)		FILED				
Robert W. Gore	SEPTEMBER 30, 2003					
SIGNATURE	TIME: 1:52 pm WSR 03-20-094					
TITLE	DATE					
Assistant Director	September 29, 2003					

(j) Short explanation of rule, its purpose, and anticipated effects:
The proposed amendment adopts the United States standards for grades of apples as state standards. Adoption of the U.S. standards ensures that apples from Washington State meets or exceeds the requirements of the U.S. standards. Apples certified to meet the state standards will not be required to be re-certified for domestic or export markets that require apples to meet only the U.S. grade standards. This amendment should make marketing Washington State apples easier and should reduce the cost of marketing to the industry by eliminating the need to re-certify apples that are already state certified.
Does proposal change existing rules? MVES INO If you describe changes:
Does proposal change existing rules?   YES   NO   If yes, describe changes:
This amendment will enable apples marketed in areas that require only U.S. grades to be marketed without being re-certified.
(k) Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement. A copy of the statement may be obtained by writing to:
telephoning: ( ) faxing: ( )
⊠ No. Explain why no statement was prepared
The proposed amendment does not impose any additional costs on the regulated industry. In fact, it allows the industry to reduce marketing costs while making it easier for the industry to market Washington State apples.
(I) Does RCW 34.05.328 apply to this rule adoption? ☐ Yes ☐ No Please explain:
The Washington State Department of Agriculture is not a listed agency in RCW 34.05.328(5)(a)(i).

ı